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## Section 1: Program Planning:

## Internal Analysis: Arabic

## Enrollment and FTES:

Currently, 2014-2015 data is unavailable for this program but will have numbers to compare for the upcoming review.

## Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):

Currently, 2014-2015 data is unavailable for this program but will have numbers to compare for the upcoming review.

## Couse Success Rate:

Currently, 2014-2015 data is unavailable for this program but will have numbers to compare for the upcoming review.

## Term Retention Rate:

Currently, 2014-2015 data is unavailable for this program but will have numbers to compare for the upcoming review.

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

Currently, 2014-2015 data is unavailable for this program but will have numbers to compare for the upcoming review.

## Modality:

The Arabic courses will be offered primarily online. Currently, 2014-2015 data is unavailable for this program but will have numbers to compare for the upcoming review.

## Demographics:

Currently, 2014-2015 data is unavailable for this program but will have numbers to compare for the upcoming review.

## Implications of Change:

It is the intention of the International Languages Department to grow this program. In spring 2016, we offered Arabic 180A, a $21 / 2$ unit course followed in fall 2016 by Arabic 180B. a $21 / 2$ unit course; together these may transfer as Arabic 180 (a 5 unit course). In spring 2017, we will offer the five-unit Arabic 180 course. All of these are onsite courses. In order to promote the Arabic offerings, we have had flyers printed which the instructor will distribute in community centers and area high schools.

## Internal Analysis: Chinese

## Enrollment and FTES:

The number of enrollments in Chinese courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial decrease ( $>-10.0 \%$ ) from 2013-2014 and a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) in comparison with the number of enrollments in 2012-2013.

The FTES in Chinese credit courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial decrease (> -10.0\%) from 20132014 and a substantial decrease (> -10.0\%) in with in comparison with FTES in 2012-2013.

## Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):

The number of sections in Chinese courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of sections in 2012-2013.

The fill rate in Chinese courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate decrease ( $-5.0 \%$ to $-10.0 \%$ ) from 20132014 and a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) in comparison with the fill rate in 2012-2013.

The FTEF/30 ratio in Chinese courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) from 20132014 and minimal to no difference in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2012-2013.

The WSCH/FTEF ratio in Chinese courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 20132014 and a substantial decrease (> -10.0\%) in comparison with the WSCH/FTEF ratio in 2012-2013.

## Couse Success Rate:

The course success rate in Chinese courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate increase (5.0\% to 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (>10.0\%) in comparison with the course success rate in 20122013. The course success rate from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average (65.4\%) and was slightly higher (1.0\% to 4.9\%) than the institutional-set standard for course success (55.4\%).

## Term Retention Rate:

The term retention rate in Chinese courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate decrease (-5.0\% to -10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a moderate decrease ( $-5.0 \%$ to -10.0\%) in comparison with the term retention rate in 2012-2013. The term retention from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average ( $82.3 \%$ ) and was moderately higher ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) than the institutional-set standard of term retention (70.3\%).

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

The number of degrees in Chinese in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of degrees awarded in 2012-2013.

The number of certificates in Chinese in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 2012-2013.

## Modality:

The program is fully online and therefore the student performance rates are reflected in the previous sections.

## Demographics:

The gender comparison shows female is reflective to the population of the college. The age groups of the students show a decline in students under the age of 24 . There has been an increase from $3 \%$ to $11 \%$ in the African American population.

## Implications of Change:

The shift in the data may be attributed to the smaller population size enrolled in Chinese courses.

Table 1.1 Program Productivity Data for Chinese

| Academic Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENSUS Enrollment | 72 | 93 | 60 |
| FTES | 11.0 | 14.2 | 9.1 |
| FTEF30 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| WSCH/FTEF | 540 | 465 | 450 |
| Sections | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 |
| Fill Rate | $90.0 \%$ | $71.5 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
|  | DEGREES AND CERTIFICATES |  |  |
| Associate Degrees | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Certificates | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED Enrollment | 70 | 89 | 60 |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 57.1\% | 47.2\% | 66.7\% |
| Male | 40.0\% | 49.4\% | 31.7\% |
| Unknown | 2.9\% | 3.4\% | 1.7\% |
| AGE at TERM |  |  |  |
| Less than 19 | 12.9\% | 19.1\% | 6.7\% |
| 20 to 24 | 38.6\% | 34.8\% | 33.3\% |
| 25 to 29 | 11.4\% | 16.9\% | 13.3\% |
| 30 to 34 | 2.9\% | 9.0\% | 10.0\% |
| 35 to 39 | 8.6\% | 5.6\% | 3.3\% |
| 40 to 49 | 14.3\% | 6.7\% | 15.0\% |
| 50 and Older | 11.4\% | 7.9\% | 18.3\% |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |
| African American | 5.7\% | 3.4\% | 11.7\% |
| American Indian | 2.9\% | 1.1\% | 5.0\% |
| Asian | 65.7\% | 66.3\% | 61.7\% |
| Hispanic/Latino | 5.7\% | 5.6\% | 1.7\% |
| Pacific Islander | 2.9\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% |
| White | 11.4\% | 16.9\% | 15.0\% |
| Unknown | 5.7\% | 5.6\% | 5.0\% |
| INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |  |  |
| Cable |  |  |  |
| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| Hybrid |  |  |  |
| Online | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Self-Paced |  |  |  |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

Table 1.2 Program Review Data for Chinese by Modality

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 70 | 89 | 60 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $55.7 \%$ | $65.2 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $81.4 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Cable |  |  |  |
| Correspondence |  | 89 |  |
| Hybrid |  |  |  |
| Online |  |  |  |
| Self-Paced |  |  |  |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

## Success Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $55.7 \%$ | $65.2 \%$ |  |
| Online |  |  |  |
| Self-Paced |  |  |  |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

## Retention Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $81.4 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ |
| Online |  |  |  |
| Self-Paced |  |  |  |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

Table 1.3 Program Review Data for Chinese by Gender

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 70 | 89 | 60 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $55.7 \%$ | $65.2 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $81.4 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GENDER |  |  |
| Female | 40 | 42 | 40 |
| Male | 28 | 44 | 19 |
| Unknown | 2 | 3 | 1 |

## Success Rate

| - Female | $52.5 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $60.7 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ | $68.4 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $50.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## Retention Rate

| - Female | $87.5 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Male | $75.0 \%$ | $79.5 \%$ | $78.9 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $50.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 1.4 Program Review Data for Chinese by Age Group

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 70 | 89 | 60 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $55.7 \%$ | $65.2 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $81.4 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ |


|  | AGE at TERM |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 9 | 17 | 4 |
| 20 to 24 | 27 | 31 | 20 |
| 25 to 29 | 8 | 15 | 8 |
| 30 to 34 | 2 | 8 | 6 |
| 35 to 39 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| 40 to 49 | 10 | 6 | 9 |
| 50 and Older | 8 | 7 | 11 |


| Success Rate <br> Less than 19 | $88.9 \%$ | $88.2 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 to 24 | $59.3 \%$ | $64.5 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $37.5 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $33.3 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $50.0 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $50.0 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ |


| Retention Rate <br> Less than 19 | $88.9 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 to 24 | $85.2 \%$ | $80.6 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $87.5 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $50.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $80.0 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $50.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ |

Table 1.5 Program Review Data for Chinese by Ethnicity

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 70 | 89 | 60 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $55.7 \%$ | $65.2 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $81.4 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  | 7 |
| African American | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| American Indian | 2 | 1 | 37 |
| Asian | 46 | 59 | 1 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| White | 8 | 15 | 3 |
| Unknown | 4 | 5 |  |

## Success Rate

| African American | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |
| Asian | $67.4 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ | $67.6 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $0.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| White | $62.5 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ |
| Unknown | $75.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |

Retention Rate

| African American | $25.0 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | $50.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| Asian | $89.1 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ | $81.1 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $25.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| White | $87.5 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ |
| Unknown | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |

## Internal Analysis: French

## Enrollment and FTES:

The number of enrollments in French courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial decrease (> -10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a moderate increase ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the number of enrollments in 2012-2013.

The FTES in French credit courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a moderate increase ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) in with in comparison with FTES in 2012-2013.

## Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):

The number of sections in French courses in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of sections in 2012-2013.

The fill rate in French courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) in comparison with the fill rate in 2012-2013.

The FTEF/30 ratio in French courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial decrease (> -10.0\%) from 20132014 and minimal to no difference in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2012-2013.

The WSCH/FTEF ratio in French courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate increase (5.0\% to 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a moderate increase ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the WSCH/FTEF ratio in 20122013.

## Couse Success Rate:

The course success rate in French courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the course success rate in 2012-2013. The course success rate from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average (65.4\%) and was substantially lower (>-10.0\%) than the institutional-set standard for course success (55.4\%).

## Term Retention Rate:

The term retention rate in French courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate increase (5.0\% to 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a moderate increase ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the term retention rate in 2012-2013. The term retention from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average ( $82.3 \%$ ) and was substantially higher (> 10.0\%) than the institutional-set standard of term retention (70.3\%).

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

The number of degrees in French in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of degrees awarded in 2012-2013.

The number of certificates in French in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 2012-2013.

## Modality:

The program is fully online and therefore the student performance rates are reflected in the previous sections.

## Demographics:

The majority of students enrolled in the courses are white non-Hispanic and Asian. Additionally, the majority of the student enrollment consists of female students (74\%).

## Implications of Change:

All numbers reflect better performance, but the cause cannot be determined. Beginning in spring 2016, the cap was lowered from 110 to 50: time will tell if the lowering of the cap will result in better performance.

Table 1.6 Program Productivity Data for French

| Academic Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENSUS Enrollment | 112 | 136 | 120 |
| FTES | 17.1 | 20.7 | 18.3 |
| FTEF30 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| WSCH/FTEF | 672 | 680 | 720 |
| Sections | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Fill Rate | $74.7 \%$ | $61.8 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ |
|  | DEGREES AND CERTIFICATES |  |  |
| Associate Degrees | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Certificates | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED Enrollment | 105 | 134 | 121 |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 66.7\% | 67.2\% | 73.6\% |
| Male | 31.4\% | 30.6\% | 24.8\% |
| Unknown | 1.9\% | 2.2\% | 1.7\% |
| AGE at TERM |  |  |  |
| Less than 19 | 5.7\% | 10.4\% | 15.7\% |
| 20 to 24 | 26.7\% | 32.8\% | 22.3\% |
| 25 to 29 | 17.1\% | 17.9\% | 10.7\% |
| 30 to 34 | 5.7\% | 11.2\% | 8.3\% |
| 35 to 39 | 1.9\% | 3.7\% | 7.4\% |
| 40 to 49 | 10.5\% | 12.7\% | 11.6\% |
| 50 and Older | 32.4\% | 11.2\% | 24.0\% |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |
| African American | 4.8\% | 8.2\% | 6.6\% |
| American Indian | 1.9\% | 5.2\% | 5.8\% |
| Asian | 45.7\% | 31.3\% | 35.5\% |
| Hispanic/Latino | 13.3\% | 9.0\% | 5.8\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% |
| White | 27.6\% | 40.3\% | 43.8\% |
| Unknown | 6.7\% | 4.5\% | 2.5\% |
| INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |  |  |
| Cable |  |  |  |
| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| Hybrid |  |  |  |
| Online | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Self-Paced |  |  |  |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

Table 1.7 Program Review Data for French by Modality

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 105 | 134 | 121 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $33.3 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ |
| - Overall Retention Rate | $75.2 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| CabSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |  |  |
| Correspondence |  | 121 |  |
| Hybrid | 105 |  |  |
| Online |  |  |  |
| Self-Paced |  |  |  |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

## Success Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $33.3 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ |  |
| Online |  |  |  |
| Self-Paced |  |  |  |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

## Retention Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $75.2 \%$ |  |  |
| Online |  |  |  |
| Self-Paced |  |  |  |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

Table 1.8 Program Review Data for French by Gender

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 105 | 134 | 121 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $33.3 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $75.2 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 70 | 90 | 89 |
| Male | 33 | 41 | 30 |
| Unknown | 2 | 3 | 2 |

## Success Rate

| - Female | $37.1 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $27.3 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $0.0 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |

Retention Rate

| - Female | $77.1 \%$ | $75.6 \%$ | $80.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Male | $69.7 \%$ | $70.7 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |

Table 1.9 Program Review Data for French by Age Group

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 105 | 134 | 121 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $33.3 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ |
| - Overall Retention Rate | $75.2 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ |


|  | AGE at TERM |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 6 | 14 | 19 |  |
| 20 to 24 | 28 | 44 | 27 |  |
| 25 to 29 | 18 | 24 | 13 |  |
| 30 to 34 | 6 | 15 | 10 |  |
| 35 to 39 | 2 | 5 | 9 |  |
| 40 to 49 | 11 | 17 | 14 |  |
| 50 and Older | 34 | 15 | 29 |  |


| Success Rate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 16.7\% | 42.9\% | 36.8\% |
| 20 to 24 | 21.4\% | 34.1\% | 22.2\% |
| 25 to 29 | 50.0\% | 16.7\% | 38.5\% |
| 30 to 34 | 16.7\% | 33.3\% | 20.0\% |
| 35 to 39 | 50.0\% | 40.0\% | 55.6\% |
| 40 to 49 | 45.5\% | 17.6\% | 50.0\% |
| 50 and Older | 35.3\% | 53.3\% | 72.4\% |


| Retention Rate <br> Less than 19 | $83.3 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ | $84.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 20 to 24 | $78.6 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | $70.4 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $77.8 \%$ | $70.8 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $66.7 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $100.0 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $90.9 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $64.7 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ | $89.7 \%$ |

Table 1.10 Program Review Data for French by Ethnicity

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 105 | 134 | 121 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $33.3 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $75.2 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  |
| African American | 5 | 11 | 7 |
| American Indian | 2 | 7 | 43 |
| Asian | 48 | 42 | 7 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 14 | 12 | 0 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 2 | 53 |
| White | 29 | 54 | 3 |
| Unknown | 7 | 6 | 7 |

## Success Rate

| African American | $20.0 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | $37.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian | $0.0 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ |
| Asian | $35.4 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $62.8 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $28.6 \%$ | $41.7 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| White | $37.9 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ |
| Unknown | $28.6 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |


| Retention Rate |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $100.0 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ |
| American Indian | $100.0 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ |
| Asian | $70.8 \%$ | $90.5 \%$ | $86.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $57.1 \%$ | $58.3 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $75.5 \%$ |
| White | $82.8 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Unknown | $85.7 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |  |

## Internal Analysis: Italian

## Enrollment and FTES:

The number of enrollments in Italian courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the number of enrollments in 20122013.

The FTES in Italian credit courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in with in comparison with FTES in 2012-2013.

## Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):

The number of sections in Italian courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the number of sections in 2012-2013.

The fill rate in Italian courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (>10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the fill rate in 2012-2013.

The FTEF/30 ratio in Italian courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (>10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2012-2013.

The WSCH/FTEF ratio in Italian courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 20132014 and a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the WSCH/FTEF ratio in 2012-2013.

## Couse Success Rate:

The course success rate in Italian courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the course success rate in 2012-2013. The course success rate from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average (65.4\%) and was moderately lower (5.0\% to 10.0\%) than the institutional-set standard for course success (55.4\%).

## Term Retention Rate:

The term retention rate in Italian courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the term retention rate in 2012-2013. The term retention from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average ( $82.3 \%$ ) and was moderately lower ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) than the institutional-set standard of term retention (70.3\%).

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

The number of degrees in Italian in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of degrees awarded in 2012-2013.

The number of certificates in Italian in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 2012-2013.

## Modality:

One course was offered in person and there is no plans to expand to different modalities.

## Demographics:

The primary participants in the course were white non-Hispanic students. . The female students has a much higher rate of success in comparison with the male population. This data can shift over time was there was only 11 students enrolled

## Implications of Change:

Italian was re-introduced into the program in fall 2014 and resulted in a very low enrolled onsite class; it was again offered in spring 2015, but was cancelled because enrollment did not materialize. We do not anticipate offering it again at this time. Demand for languages waxes and wanes, it appears that Italian is waning in popularity.

Table 1.11 Program Productivity Data for Italian

| Academic Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENSUS Enrollment |  |  | 11 |
| FTES |  |  | 1.9 |
| FTEF30 |  |  | 0.2 |
| WSCH/FTEF |  |  | 186 |
| Sections |  |  | 1.0 |
| Fill Rate |  |  | 27.5\% |
| DEGREES AND CERTIFICATES |  |  |  |
| Associate Degrees |  |  | 0 |
| Certificates |  |  | 0 |
| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| GRADED Enrollment |  |  | 11 |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  | 54.5\% |
| Male |  |  | 45.5\% |
| Unknown |  |  | 0.0\% |
| AGE at TERM |  |  |  |
| Less than 19 |  |  | 18.2\% |
| 20 to 24 |  |  | 18.2\% |
| 25 to 29 |  |  | 0.0\% |
| 30 to 34 |  |  | 0.0\% |
| 35 to 39 |  |  | 9.1\% |
| 40 to 49 |  |  | 0.0\% |
| 50 and Older |  |  | 54.5\% |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |
| African American |  |  | 0.0\% |
| American Indian |  |  | 0.0\% |
| Asian |  |  | 0.0\% |
| Hispanic/Latino |  |  | 18.2\% |
| Pacific Islander |  |  | 0.0\% |
| White |  |  | 72.7\% |
| Unknown |  |  | 9.1\% |
| INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |  |  |
| Cable |  |  | 0.0\% |
| Correspondence |  |  | 0.0\% |
| Hybrid |  |  | 0.0\% |
| Online |  |  | 0.0\% |
| Self-Paced |  |  | 0.0\% |
| Telecourse |  |  | 0.0\% |
| Traditional |  |  | 100.0\% |

Table 1.12 Program Review Data for Italian by Modality

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT |  | 11 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $45.5 \%$ |  |
| -Overall Retention Rate |  | $63.6 \%$ |
|  | INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |
| Cable |  |  |
| Correspondence |  |  |
| Hybrid | 11 |  |
| Online |  |  |
| Self-Paced |  |  |
| Telecourse |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |

## Success Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid |  |
| Online |  |
| Self-Paced | $45.5 \%$ |
| Telecourse |  |
| Traditional |  |

## Retention Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid |  |
| Online |  |
| Self-Paced | $63.6 \%$ |
| Telecourse |  |
| Traditional |  |

Table 1.13 Program Review Data for Italian by Gender

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |  |
| -Overall Success Rate | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |  |
| -Overall Retention Rate | STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS | $63.5 \%$ |
|  | GENDER |  |
|  |  | 6 |
| Female | 5 |  |
| Male | 0 |  |
| Unknown |  | 5 |

## Success Rate

| - Female | $83.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| - Male | $0.0 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $0.0 \%$ |

## Retention Rate

| - Female | $100.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| - Male | $20.0 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $0.0 \%$ |

Table 1.14 Program Review Data for Italian by Age Group

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 2014-15 |  |
| -Overall Success Rate | 41 |  |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $63.5 \%$ |  |


|  | AGE at TERM |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less than 19 | 2 |  |
| 20 to 24 | 2 |  |
| 25 to 29 | 0 |  |
| 30 to 34 | 0 |  |
| 35 to 39 | 1 |  |
| 40 to 49 | 0 |  |
| 50 and Older | 6 |  |


| Success Rate |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Less than 19 | $50.0 \%$ |
| 20 to 24 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $100.0 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $50.0 \%$ |

## Retention Rate

| Less than 19 | $50.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 20 to 24 | $50.0 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $100.0 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $66.7 \%$ |

Table 1.15 Program Review Data for Italian by Ethnicity

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | $2014-15$ |  |
| - Overall Success Rate | 11 |  |
| -Overall Retention Rate | RACE/ETHNICITY | $65.5 \%$ |
|  | $63.6 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| African American | 0 |  |
| American Indian | 0 |  |
| Asian | 0 |  |
| Hispanic/Latino | 2 |  |
| Pacific Islander | 0 |  |
| White | 8 |  |
| Unknown | 1 |  |

## Success Rate

| African American | $0.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| American Indian | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian | $0.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $0.0 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $0.0 \%$ |
| White | $62.5 \%$ |
| Unknown | $0.0 \%$ |


| Retention Rate | $0.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| African American | $0.0 \%$ |
| American Indian | $0.0 \%$ |
| Asian | $50.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $0.0 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $62.5 \%$ |
| White | $100.0 \%$ |

## Internal Analysis: Japanese

## Enrollment and FTES:

Currently, 2014-2015 data is unavailable for this program but will have numbers to compare for the upcoming review.

## Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):

Currently, 2014-2015 data is unavailable for this program but will have numbers to compare for the upcoming review.

## Couse Success Rate:

Currently, 2014-2015 data is unavailable for this program but will have numbers to compare for the upcoming review.

## Term Retention Rate:

Currently, 2014-2015 data is unavailable for this program but will have numbers to compare for the upcoming review.

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

Currently, 2014-2015 data is unavailable for this program but will have numbers to compare for the upcoming review.

## Modality:

The Japanese courses will be offered primarily online. Currently, 2014-2015 data is unavailable for this program but will have numbers to compare for the upcoming review.

## Demographics:

Currently, 2014-2015 data is unavailable for this program but will have numbers to compare for the upcoming review.

## Implications of Change:

In spring 2015, we entered an agreement with the Japan Foundation who provided funding to develop two online courses in Japanese. We offered two sections of Japanese 180 in spring 2016 and one section of Japanese 180 and one section Japanese 185 in fall 2016. The Administration is committed to growing the Japanese online presence at Coastline.

## Internal Analysis: Spanish

## Enrollment and FTES:

The number of enrollments in Spanish courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (>10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the number of enrollments in 20122013.

The FTES in Spanish credit courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in with in comparison with FTES in 2012-2013.

## Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):

The number of sections in Spanish courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the number of sections in 2012-2013.

The fill rate in Spanish courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate increase ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) from 20132014 and minimal to no difference in comparison with the fill rate in 2012-2013.

The FTEF/30 ratio in Spanish courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 20132014 and a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2012-2013.

The WSCH/FTEF ratio in Spanish courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 20132014 and minimal to no difference in comparison with the WSCH/FTEF ratio in 2012-2013.

## Couse Success Rate:

The course success rate in Spanish courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the course success rate in 2012-2013. The course success rate from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average (65.4\%) and was moderately higher ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) than the institutional-set standard for course success (55.4\%).

## Term Retention Rate:

The term retention rate in Spanish courses in 2014-2015 showed a slight decrease (-1.0 to -4.9) from 2013-2014 and a moderate increase ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the term retention rate in 20122013. The term retention from 2014-2015 was substantially lower (>-10.0\%) than the college average ( $82.3 \%$ ) and was moderately higher ( $5.0 \%$ to $10.0 \%$ ) than the institutional-set standard of term retention (70.3\%).

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

The number of degrees in Spanish in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) in comparison with the number of degrees awarded in 20122013.

The number of certificates in Spanish in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 2012-2013.

## Modality:

Spanish is offered via in-person, online, and telecourse. The data shows that students have a higher success rate in traditional face-to-face classes in comparison with distance education courses.

## Demographics:

The majority of students enrolled in Spanish are under the age of 30 (41.1\%). White non-Hispanic, Hispanic, and African American students make up the majority of the population in the program. The success rate is higher for female students compared with males.

## Implications of Change:

Spanish includes many different cohorts: Early College High School; Incarcerated; Military; Regular Onsite; and Online. This adds additional layers of complexity to the analysis of Program Performance. The rate of success in the telecourses remains low, perhaps due to the constraints placed on the involved students or for some other undetermined reason. Early College High School, which is not broken out in these statistics, produces the excellent success and retention shown in the "traditional" category, although the ECHS students are anything but traditional. Online numbers have remained constant over the past few semesters; perhaps a difference will be noted when the data reflecting the lowering of caps in spring 2016 from 110 to 80 is analyzed.

Table 1.16 Program Productivity Data for Spanish

| Academic Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENSUS Enrollment | 854 | 959 | $\mathbf{1 , 2 4 5}$ |
| FTES | 127.3 | 146.4 | 183.9 |
| FTEF30 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.7 |
| WSCH/FTEF | 807 | 821 | 807 |
| Sections | 15.0 | 14.0 | 19.0 |
| Fill Rate | $78.3 \%$ | $75.7 \%$ | $80.2 \%$ |
|  | DEGREES AND CERTIFICATES |  |  |
| Associate Degrees | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Certificates | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED Enrollment | 899 | 936 | 1,258 |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 46.7\% | 40.6\% | 43.5\% |
| Male | 52.8\% | 58.4\% | 55.7\% |
| Unknown | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.8\% |
| AGE at TERM |  |  |  |
| Less than 19 | 22.2\% | 7.6\% | 19.6\% |
| 20 to 24 | 21.1\% | 22.4\% | 21.5\% |
| 25 to 29 | 13.2\% | 16.3\% | 16.1\% |
| 30 to 34 | 11.9\% | 15.5\% | 12.4\% |
| 35 to 39 | 10.1\% | 11.5\% | 9.6\% |
| 40 to 49 | 14.5\% | 17.9\% | 13.2\% |
| 50 and Older | 6.9\% | 8.7\% | 7.6\% |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |
| African American | 11.8\% | 14.2\% | 12.6\% |
| American Indian | 3.6\% | 4.3\% | 4.1\% |
| Asian | 14.7\% | 15.0\% | 11.6\% |
| Hispanic/Latino | 27.0\% | 20.9\% | 31.9\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% |
| White | 38.7\% | 41.6\% | 35.9\% |
| Unknown | 4.0\% | 3.6\% | 2.9\% |
| INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |  |  |
| Cable |  |  |  |
| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| Hybrid |  |  |  |
| Online | 44.4\% | 47.4\% | 52.5\% |
| Self-Paced |  |  |  |
| Telecourse | 32.5\% | 44.1\% | 30.8\% |
| Traditional | 23.1\% | 8.4\% | 16.6\% |

Table 1.17 Program Review Data for Spanish by Modality

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 899 | 936 | 1,258 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $65.7 \%$ | $66.1 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $75.5 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ | $79.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |  |  |
| Cable |  |  | 661 |
| Correspondence |  | 444 | 388 |
| Hybrid |  |  | 209 |
| Online | 292 | 413 | 79 |
| Self-Paced | 208 |  |  |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

## Success Rate <br> Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $61.2 \%$ | $60.6 \%$ | $62.6 \%$ |
| Online |  |  | $59.0 \%$ |
| Self-Paced | $61.3 \%$ | $72.4 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ |
| Telecourse | $80.8 \%$ | $64.6 \%$ |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

## Retention Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $72.2 \%$ | $81.3 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ |
| Online |  |  | $78.1 \%$ |
| Self-Paced | $67.5 \%$ | $83.1 \%$ | $92.3 \%$ |
| Telecourse | $93.3 \%$ | $78.5 \%$ |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

Table 1.18 Program Review Data for Spanish by Gender

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 899 | 936 | 1,258 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $65.7 \%$ | $66.1 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $75.5 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ | $79.7 \%$ |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 420 | 380 | 547 |
| Male | 475 | 547 | 701 |
| Unknown | 4 | 9 | 10 |

## Success Rate

| - Female | $65.7 \%$ | $64.5 \%$ | $66.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $65.7 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $75.0 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ |

Retention Rate

| - Female | $75.0 \%$ | $82.9 \%$ | $80.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Male | $75.8 \%$ | $80.8 \%$ | $79.5 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |

Table 1.19 Program Review Data for Spanish by Age Group

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 899 | 936 | 1,258 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $65.7 \%$ | $66.1 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $75.5 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ | $79.7 \%$ |


|  | AGE at TERM |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 200 | 71 | 246 |
| 20 to 24 | 190 | 210 | 270 |
| 25 to 29 | 119 | 153 | 203 |
| 30 to 34 | 107 | 145 | 156 |
| 35 to 39 | 91 | 108 | 121 |
| 40 to 49 | 130 | 168 | 166 |
| 50 and Older | 62 | 81 | 96 |


| Success Rate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 86.0\% | 57.7\% | 72.0\% |
| 20 to 24 | 61.6\% | 66.2\% | 61.5\% |
| 25 to 29 | 47.9\% | 66.7\% | 64.5\% |
| 30 to 34 | 64.5\% | 68.3\% | 65.4\% |
| 35 to 39 | 61.5\% | 66.7\% | 62.8\% |
| 40 to 49 | 65.4\% | 64.3\% | 57.2\% |
| 50 and Older | 56.5\% | 71.6\% | 58.3\% |


| Retention Rate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 92.0\% | 80.3\% | 88.2\% |
| 20 to 24 | 73.7\% | 82.4\% | 75.6\% |
| 25 to 29 | 63.9\% | 84.3\% | 80.3\% |
| 30 to 34 | 70.1\% | 82.1\% | 80.1\% |
| 35 to 39 | 71.4\% | 79.6\% | 76.9\% |
| 40 to 49 | 71.5\% | 81.5\% | 74.7\% |
| 50 and Older | 74.2\% | 80.2\% | 80.2\% |

Table 1.20 Program Review Data for Spanish by Ethnicity

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 899 | 936 | 1,258 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $65.7 \%$ | $66.1 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $75.5 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ | $79.7 \%$ |


|  | RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | 106 | 133 | 159 |
| American Indian | 32 | 40 | 52 |
| Asian | 132 | 140 | 146 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 243 | 196 | 401 |
| Pacific Islander | 2 | 4 | 12 |
| White | 348 | 389 | 452 |
| Unknown | 36 | 34 | 36 |


| Success Rate | 106 | 133 | 159 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $43.4 \%$ | $54.1 \%$ | $40.3 \%$ |
| American Indian | $56.3 \%$ | $47.5 \%$ | $46.2 \%$ |
| Asian | $55.3 \%$ | $66.4 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $79.4 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $74.3 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $100.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |
| White | $67.0 \%$ | $66.6 \%$ | $63.7 \%$ |
| Unknown | $72.2 \%$ | $76.5 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |


| Retention Rate |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| African American | $52.8 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ | $77.9 \%$ |
| American Indian | $65.6 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ | $82.0 \%$ |
| Asian | $72.7 \%$ | $82.9 \%$ | $87.3 \%$ |
| Hispanic/Latino | $84.0 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ | $58.3 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | $100.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $77.2 \%$ |
| White | $77.6 \%$ | $82.5 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ |
| Unknown | $83.3 \%$ | $88.2 \%$ |  |

## Internal Analysis: Vietnamese

## Enrollment and FTES:

The number of enrollments in Vietnamese courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the number of enrollments in 2012-2013.

The FTES in Vietnamese credit courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) from 20132014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in with in comparison with FTES in 2012-2013.

## Efficiency (Number of Sections, Fill Rate, FTEF/30, WSCH/FTEF):

The number of sections in Vietnamese courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase (>10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase (> 10.0\%) in comparison with the number of sections in 2012-2013.

The fill rate in Vietnamese courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) from 20132014 and a substantial decrease (>-10.0\%) in comparison with the fill rate in 2012-2013.

The FTEF/30 ratio in Vietnamese courses in 2014-2015 showed a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) from 2013-2014 and a substantial increase ( $>10.0 \%$ ) in comparison with the FTEF/30 ratio in 2012-2013.

The WSCH/FTEF ratio in Vietnamese courses in 2014-2015 showed a moderate decrease (-5.0\% to -10.0\%) from 2013-2014 and a slight increase ( $1.0 \%$ to $4.9 \%$ ) in comparison with the WSCH/FTEF ratio in 20122013.

## Couse Success Rate:

The course success rate in Vietnamese courses in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 20132014 and a moderate increase ( $5.0 \%$ to 10.0\%) in comparison with the course success rate in 2012-2013. The course success rate from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average (65.4\%) and was substantially higher (> 10.0\%) than the institutional-set standard for course success (55.4\%).

## Term Retention Rate:

The term retention rate in Vietnamese courses in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 20132014 and a slight decrease ( -1.0 to -4.9) in comparison with the term retention rate in 2012-2013. The term retention from 2014-2015 was minimal to no difference than the college average ( $82.3 \%$ ) and was substantially higher (> 10.0\%) than the institutional-set standard of term retention (70.3\%).

## Awards (Degrees and Certificates):

The number of degrees in Vietnamese in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of degrees awarded in 2012-2013.

The number of certificates in Vietnamese in 2014-2015 showed minimal to no difference from 2013-2014 and showed minimal to no difference in comparison with the number of certificates awarded in 20122013.

## Modality:

Vietnamese is offered via in-person and online. The data shows that students have a higher success rate across all modalities in comparison with the college.

## Demographics:

The data shows that over 95\% of the student enrollment is Asian. Additionally, the data indicates that the population of the students enrolled are over the age of 40 (65\%).

Implications of Change:
Vietnamese continues to enjoy high rates of Program Performance with the statistics remaining essentially stable. One possible reason for this high performance rate is that over $97 \%$ of the students self-identify as Asian and although the 180, 185, 280 and 285 classes are purportedly Vietnamese as a second language rather than native speakers, this may not be the case in all instances. Vietnamese 160 is for native-speakers and is offered each semester onsite.

Table 1.21 Program Productivity Data for Vietnamese

| Academic Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENSUS Enrollment | 229 | 289 | 341 |
| FTES | 36.4 | 45.7 | 54.2 |
| FTEF30 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| WSCH/FTEF | 797 | 900 | 820 |
| Sections | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| Fill Rate | 103.2\% | 96.3\% | 85.3\% |
| DEGREES AND CERTIFICATES |  |  |  |
| Associate Degrees | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Certificates | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| GRADED Enrollment | 227 | 288 | 345 |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 54.6\% | 56.9\% | 58.0\% |
| Male | 42.7\% | 42.0\% | 39.4\% |
| Unknown | 2.6\% | 1.0\% | 2.6\% |
| AGE at TERM |  |  |  |
| Less than 19 | 2.6\% | 4.2\% | 4.9\% |
| 20 to 24 | 10.1\% | 10.4\% | 13.3\% |
| 25 to 29 | 6.2\% | 6.3\% | 7.5\% |
| 30 to 34 | 6.6\% | 2.8\% | 3.2\% |
| 35 to 39 | 7.0\% | 5.2\% | 6.1\% |
| 40 to 49 | 22.5\% | 19.4\% | 14.8\% |
| 50 and Older | 44.9\% | 51.7\% | 50.1\% |
| RACE/ETHNICITY |  |  |  |
| African American | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% |
| American Indian | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% |
| Asian | 95.6\% | 94.4\% | 97.4\% |
| Hispanic/Latino | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% |
| Pacific Islander | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| White | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 1.2\% |
| Unknown | 3.1\% | 3.1\% | 0.9\% |
| INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |  |  |
| Cable |  |  |  |
| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| Hybrid |  |  |  |
| Online | 64.8\% | 69.4\% | 65.5\% |
| Self-Paced |  |  |  |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional | 35.2\% | 30.6\% | 34.5\% |

Table 1.22 Program Review Data for Vietnamese by Modality

| Academic Year | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 227 | 288 | 345 |
| -Overall Success Rate | 91.2\% | 89.2\% | 90.7\% |
| -Overall Retention Rate | 96.5\% | 93.8\% | 93.0\% |
| INSTRUCTIONAL MODALITY |  |  |  |
| Cable |  |  |  |
| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| Hybrid |  |  |  |
| Online | 147 | 200 | 226 |
| Self-Paced |  |  |  |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional | 80 | 88 | 119 |

## Success Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $87.8 \%$ | $86.0 \%$ | $88.1 \%$ |
| Online |  |  |  |
| Self-Paced | $97.5 \%$ | $96.6 \%$ | $95.8 \%$ |
| Telecourse |  |  |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

## Retention Rate

Cable

| Correspondence |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hybrid | $95.9 \%$ | $92.5 \%$ | $91.6 \%$ |
| Online |  |  |  |
| Self-Paced |  |  | $95.8 \%$ |
| Telecourse | $97.5 \%$ | $96.6 \%$ |  |
| Traditional |  |  |  |

Table 1.23 Program Review Data for Vietnamese by Gender

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 227 | 288 | 345 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $91.2 \%$ | $89.2 \%$ | $90.7 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $96.5 \%$ | $93.8 \%$ | $93.0 \%$ |


| STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENDER |  |  |  |
| Female | 124 | 164 | 200 |
| Male | 97 | 121 | 136 |
| Unknown | 6 | 3 | 9 |

## Success Rate

| - Female | $94.4 \%$ | $93.3 \%$ | $90.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Male | $87.6 \%$ | $83.5 \%$ | $91.2 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $83.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ |

## Retention Rate

| - Female | $96.8 \%$ | $95.1 \%$ | $92.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Male | $95.9 \%$ | $91.7 \%$ | $94.1 \%$ |
| - Unknown | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ |

Table 1.24 Program Review Data for Vietnamese by Age Group

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 227 | 288 | 345 |
| - Overall Success Rate | $91.2 \%$ | $89.2 \%$ | $90.7 \%$ |
| - Overall Retention Rate | $96.5 \%$ | $93.8 \%$ | $93.0 \%$ |


|  | AGE at TERM |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 6 | 12 | 17 |
| 20 to 24 | 23 | 30 | 46 |
| 25 to 29 | 14 | 18 | 26 |
| 30 to 34 | 15 | 8 | 11 |
| 35 to 39 | 16 | 15 | 21 |
| 40 to 49 | 51 | 56 | 51 |
| 50 and Older | 102 | 149 | 173 |


| Success Rate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 83.3\% | 83.3\% | 82.4\% |
| 20 to 24 | 95.7\% | 83.3\% | 76.1\% |
| 25 to 29 | 92.9\% | 88.9\% | 84.6\% |
| 30 to 34 | 93.3\% | 87.5\% | 81.8\% |
| 35 to 39 | 93.8\% | 86.7\% | 95.2\% |
| 40 to 49 | 94.1\% | 89.3\% | 92.2\% |
| 50 and Older | 88.2\% | 91.3\% | 96.0\% |


| Retention Rate <br> Less than 19 | $83.3 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $88.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 to 24 | $100.0 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ | $82.6 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $92.9 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $93.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $93.8 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $95.2 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $98.0 \%$ | $98.2 \%$ | $96.1 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $97.1 \%$ | $93.3 \%$ | $97.1 \%$ |

Table 1.25 Program Review Data for Vietnamese by Ethnicity

| Academic Year | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADED ENROLLMENT | 227 | 288 | 345 |
| -Overall Success Rate | $91.2 \%$ | $89.2 \%$ | $90.7 \%$ |
| -Overall Retention Rate | $96.5 \%$ | $93.8 \%$ | $93.0 \%$ |


|  | AGE at TERM |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 6 | 12 | 17 |
| 20 to 24 | 23 | 30 | 46 |
| 25 to 29 | 14 | 18 | 26 |
| 30 to 34 | 15 | 8 | 11 |
| 35 to 39 | 16 | 15 | 21 |
| 40 to 49 | 51 | 56 | 51 |
| 50 and Older | 102 | 149 | 173 |


| Success Rate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 19 | 83.3\% | 83.3\% | 82.4\% |
| 20 to 24 | 95.7\% | 83.3\% | 76.1\% |
| 25 to 29 | 92.9\% | 88.9\% | 84.6\% |
| 30 to 34 | 93.3\% | 87.5\% | 81.8\% |
| 35 to 39 | 93.8\% | 86.7\% | 95.2\% |
| 40 to 49 | 94.1\% | 89.3\% | 92.2\% |
| 50 and Older | 88.2\% | 91.3\% | 96.0\% |


| Retention Rate <br> Less than 19 | $83.3 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $88.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 to 24 | $100.0 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ | $82.6 \%$ |
| 25 to 29 | $92.9 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ |
| 30 to 34 | $93.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ |
| 35 to 39 | $93.8 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $95.2 \%$ |
| 40 to 49 | $98.0 \%$ | $98.2 \%$ | $96.1 \%$ |
| 50 and Older | $97.1 \%$ | $93.3 \%$ | $97.1 \%$ |

## Program Student Learning Outcome(s)

Discussion fell around student behavior with dropping and the impact of the retention. The strategies for language acquisition and conversation skills and what the strategies looked like in an online platform. Additional discussion was around RSI.

## Progress on Forward Strategy Initiative(s)

Table 1.26 Progress on Forward Strategies

| Initiative(s) | Status | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Progress Status } \\ \text { Description }\end{array}$ | Outcome(s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Decrease caps for all International } \\ \text { Language online courses to 45 students. }\end{array}$ | In-progress | $\begin{array}{l}\text { There has been a } \\ \text { decrease in some online } \\ \text { classes from 120 to 80 } \\ \text { and some with 45 } \\ \text { students. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Data pending in. Fren } \\ \text { C180 \#92504, Fren C185 } \\ \text { \#93147; Japn C180 }\end{array}$ |
| \#93115 and \#93164,Span |  |  |  |
| C180 \#92505, \#92510, |  |  |  |
| \#92992, Span C185 |  |  |  |$]$| \#92512. |
| :--- |

## Response to Department Committee Recommendation(s)

Table 1.27 Progress on Recommendations

| Recommendation(s) | Status | Response Summary |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Investigate ways to increase student interaction in <br> telecourse classes. Assess and present findings to the <br> Student Success and Academic Senate Committees. | In-progress | There is limited access to interact with the <br> students as the courses are <br> correspondence courses (Incarcerated <br> students). |
| Request an update on the Spanish ADT. | In-progress | Will follow with the Dean over articulation |

## Section 2: Human Capital Planning

## Staffing

Table 2.1 Staffing Plan

| Year | Administrator | Management | F/T Faculty | Part-time | Classified | Hourly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Previous year <br> $2015-2016$ | 1 | 0 | 1 (Spanish) | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Current year <br> $2016-2017$ | 1 | 0 | 1 (Spanish) | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 year <br> $2017-2018$ | 1 | 0 | 2 (Spanish) | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 years <br> $2018-2019$ | 1 | 0 | 2 (Spanish) | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 years <br> $2019-2020$ | 1 | 0 | 2 (Spanish) | 12 | 0 | 0 |

The request for an additional full-time position is based upon student demand and increase in enrollment. Additionally, the entire program has grown and will require more support to ensure operational effectiveness and student educational needs are being fulfilled.

Professional Development: The following represent self-reported professional development activities, presentations, honors, and awards.

Table 2.2 Professional Development

| Name (Title) | Professional Development | Outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rosemary Miller, Spanish, Dept. <br> Chair Intl. Languages | Canvas Training; ADA training; Keenan Safe <br> Colleges; 2016 Summer Institute. | Increased awareness of <br> workplace hazards and <br> remedies. <br> Increase in instructional <br> effectiveness. |
| Dung Tran, Vietnamese | California Association of Bilingual <br> Conference, March 2016. CSUF presentation <br> on Text Reviewing: <br> Independent consultant for National <br> Resources Center for Asian Languages. <br> Canvas Training begun. 2016 Summer <br> Institute. | Fall class cancelled due to <br> problems with Canvas <br> Training. <br> Increase in instructional <br> effectiveness. |
| Dr. Katherine Watson, French | Presentations: NISOD; Teaching at the <br> Community College Conference: <br> International conference on Teaching and <br> Learning; League for Innovation 2016 <br> Conference; Instructional Technology <br> Council; Blogger for Community College <br> Week; Canvas Training. | Coastline's reputation <br> enhanced by presentations at <br> conferences. <br> Increase in instructional <br> effectiveness. |
| Emiko Kiyochi, Japanese | PhD degree in progress. OEI Peer Online <br> Course Reviewer at @One.; ACTFL annual <br> convention in San Diego. Developed | Provided new access to <br> Japanese by developing online <br> classes. |


|  | Japanese online classes, grant from Japan <br> Foundation. Canvas Training. | Increase in instructional <br> effectiveness. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jingfang Satow, Chinese | Canvas Training; 2016 Summer Institute; <br> International Education project at Sun Yat- <br> sen University of China. <br> Asian Languages Symposium at CSU- <br> Fullerton on Friday | Increase in instructional <br> effectiveness. Enriched <br> teaching practice at <br> conference in China. |
| Dr. Amer El Ahraf, Arabic | 2015 Superior Long-Term Service Award <br> from the Association of Egyptian-American <br> Scholars; California Environmental Health <br> Association Publication Award for 2016; Past <br> President of CEHA and AEAS. Founded <br> Arabic Club at Coastline Community College. | Increase in instructional <br> effectiveness. <br> Service to students by <br> initiating Arabic Club. |
| David Nguyen, Vietnamese | Canvas Training. 2016 Summer Institute. | Increase in instructional <br> effectiveness. |
| Patricia Candelaria, Spanish | Canvas Training | Summer class cancelled due to <br> problems with Canvas <br> Training. Increase in <br> instructional effectiveness. |
| Valeria Barragán, Spanish | Canvas Training | Increase in instructional <br> effectiveness. |
| Sandra Basabe, Spanish | Canvas Training; Vista Publisher <br> Presentation. | Increase in instructional <br> effectiveness. |
| Julie Alweheiby, Spanish | Canvas Training; Vista Publisher <br> Presentation. | Increase in instructional <br> effectiveness. |

## Section 3: Facilities Planning

## Facility Assessment

Courses are offered face-to-face at Le Jao Center, Garden Grove Center, and ECHS. The facilities are adequate and no changes are foreseeable.

## Section 4: Technology Planning

## Technology Assessment

In 2015-2016 the department chair was provided a professional quality headset/microphone for the online environment. It is imperative that all online International Language course instructors be provided this tool to increase their interactivity with their online students.

Due to the complexity of the new Canvas tool, it was suggested that a license for VoiceThread, a recording submission tool, be procured. This ties directly to the PSLO findings and dialog that occurred at the 2016 all college meeting.

## Section 5: New Initiatives

Initiative: Obtain a license for Camtasia to aid online instructors in personalizing their online classes.

## Describe how the initiative supports the college mission:

The need exists to personalize our online classes and with this tool we can provide a personal presence in the online classroom.

What college goal does the initiative align with? Select one
X Student Success, Completion, and Achievement
$\square$ Instructional and Programmatic Excellence
$X$ Access and Student Support
X Student Retention and Persistence
$\square$ Culture of Evidence, Planning, Innovation, and Change
$\square$ Partnerships and Community Engagement
$\square$ Fiscal Stewardship, Scalability, and Sustainability
What College planning document(s) does the initiative align with? Select all that applyEducational Master Plan
$\square$ Facilities
$\square$ Staffing
X Technology
What evidence supports this initiative? Select all that apply
X Learning Outcome (SLO/PSLO) assessment
X Internal Research (Student achievement, program performance)
X External Research (Academic literature, market assessment, audit findings, compliance mandates)

## Describe how the evidence supports this initiative.

Literature cites that the more personal the "presence" of an online instructor, the more connected, involved, and persistent the students are.

Recommended resource(s) needed for initiative achievement:
License for Camtasia for use by instructors.
What is the anticipated outcome of completing the initiative?
Increased student success.

Provide a timeline and timeframe from initiative inception to completion.
This can be done immediately and is also being requested by the Faculty Success Center.

